Internasional Journal of Integrative Sciences (IJIS)
Vol.4, No.3, 2025: 533-556

Digital Transformation in Healthcare Services: the Role of Telemedicine, Telerehabilitation, and Organizational Resilience

Hendra[1*], Margaretha Hanita[2]
University Indonesia
Corresponding Author: Hendra hendra31@gmailui.ac.id

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Telemedicine, Telerehabilitation, Organizational Resilience, Digital Health, Healthcare Transformation

Received : 21 Januwary
Revised : 23 February
Accepted: 23 March

A B S T R A C T

Digital transformation in healthcare services has accelerated rapidly, particularly through telemedicine and telerehabilitation, as a response to the COVID-19 pandemic. This integrative review aims to elucidate how these technology-driven healthcare solutions contribute to organizational resilience. Forty relevant articles were collected from Scopus and Google Scholar (2020–2025) using keywords such as "telemedicine," "telerehabilitation," and "organizational resilience," and analyzed for overarching themes. The findings indicate four principal dimensions essential for fostering resilience: robust technological infrastructure, collaborative stakeholder engagement, effective change management, and integrated risk mitigation measures. Telemedicine and telerehabilitation are shown to expand patient access, enhance continuity of care, and enable rapid adaptation to disruptions such as pandemics or resource constraints. Despite facing challenges related to regulatory support, workforce training, and initial investment requirements, this review underscores that strategic integration of digital healthcare services is vital for maintaining service quality and agility. Ultimately, telemedicine initiatives fortify healthcare organizations against future uncertainties

DOI: https://doi.org/10.55927/ijis.v4i3.92
E-ISSN: 2985-9050
https://journal.formosapublisher.org/index.php/ijis

INTRODUCTION

The pandemic has caused big changes in healthcare, forcing everyone to use technology to make sure people still have access to healthcare. Social restrictions and worries about the spread of the virus have made people use technology more, for things like online doctor visits, digital medical records, and contactless payment systems. These not only make patients more comfortable, but also make it easier for healthcare facilities to operate (Ilyas, 2022). After the pandemic, this digital change is still happening. It's not just a quick fix anymore. It's becoming the new normal for a better, more connected, and more accessible health system. This includes people in remote areas. People are trusting technology-based healthcare more, and that's making more new ideas. Digitization, which was first used as a temporary solution, is now a long-term strategy for creating health services that are more adaptive, efficient, and inclusive for all levels of society (Abernethy et al., 2022).

One type of digital transformation that has grown quickly since the pandemic is telemedicine. It is being used more and more to improve access to health services, especially for people who live in remote areas or who have trouble moving around. Telemedicine uses telecommunications technology to provide high-quality healthcare services remotely. This allows patients to get diagnoses, treatment, and advice to prevent diseases without meeting with doctors in person (Putrie & Sulistiadi, 2022; Sharma et al., 2022). The WHO says that telemedicine is the use of information technology to share medical information, which makes it an important part of today's health system. Besides making it easier for people to get care, telemedicine also makes it so that patients can get continuous care without being limited by geography. One important development in telemedicine is telerehabilitation, which lets medical personnel provide remote rehabilitation services using communication technologies like video conferencing (Shem et al., 2022). This service lets healthcare providers monitor patients, prevent problems, and guide them through recovery from different locations, making it easier for patients to get the care they need without having to travel to health facilities. As technology improves, the healthcare system is becoming more flexible, efficient, and patient-centered. This creates a more affordable and equitable healthcare ecosystem for the wider community.

Many studies have talked about telemedicine and telerehabilitation, focusing on how they help patients recover and how well technology-based health services can improve medical results. These studies show how telecommunications technology allows more people to get medical care, reduces geographical barriers, and improves the efficiency of remote health services. But there's another important topic that isn't talked about as much: how telemedicine and telerehabilitation help organizations be more resilient.

According to He et al. (2023), organizational resilience is a crucial factor in ensuring that an entity can continue to operate in uncertain conditions. In the context of digital services, strategic investment in technology not only maintains the continuity of services but also enables organizations to develop more responsive control systems. Digital transformation in health services, including

telemedicine and telerehabilitation, can be a major factor in building a resilient organization (He et al., 2023).

The objective of this study is to establish foundational themes pertaining to telemedicine and telerehabilitation within the framework of organizational resilience. The identification of these themes is anticipated to furnish a framework for future research endeavors aimed at formulating strategies for the digital transformation of healthcare services, with the overarching objective being the enhancement of organizational resilience.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Telemedicine and Telerehabilitation

The history of telemedicine dates back further than many might think, with its roots reaching as far back as the 19th century. In 1874, Australia used the telegraph to connect injured patients with medical personnel over vast distances (Eikelboom, 2012). The concept continued to evolve into the 20th century when Dutch scientist Willem Einthoven transmitted a patient's heart data to a distant hospital using a telephone cable (Beattie, 2006). By the 1920s, Norwegian doctors were offering medical consultations to sailors via radio, marking another step forward. In 1967, the United States implemented a remote medical communication system to assist sick airport workers. Over time, telemedicine expanded globally and became especially beneficial in remote areas where access to medical personnel is limited.

As telemedicine evolved, it gave rise to telerehabilitation, which uses digital technology to provide remote therapy services. Various technologies support telerehabilitation, with video conferencing being the primary tool for direct interaction between patients and healthcare professionals (Alexander, 2022). Wearable devices, such as activity-tracking wristbands, allow real-time monitoring of patient progress. Advanced tools like augmented reality and virtual reality are also being integrated, particularly in exposure therapy for PTSD patients and motor recovery for stroke survivors, enhancing engagement and treatment outcomes.

Despite its promising potential, telerehabilitation faces several challenges. One major hurdle is the digital divide. Many people in remote or low-income areas lack access to adequate technology and reliable internet, making communication with healthcare providers difficult. Some medical professionals remain hesitant to adopt telerehabilitation because they prefer conventional methods and have limited training in digital healthcare tools. These issues are further compounded by the absence of clear regulations on standards of practice and patient data security, leading to uncertainty in implementing remote rehabilitation services (Alexander, 2022). Addressing these challenges is crucial for telerehabilitation to reach its full potential and provide accessible healthcare to those who need it most.

Organizational Resilience Framework in Digital Transformation

The theory of organizational resilience, according to He et al. (2023), emphasizes an organization's ability to survive and thrive despite various external crises. These researchers see resilience as having two main sources of capacity, namely Individual Contribution (IC) and Systemic Control (SC).

Capable and creative employees contribute innovative ideas, while system support and resource networks enable operations to continue sustainably.

Digital transformation plays an important role in building organizational resilience through a two-dimensional framework, namely Digital Intensity (DI) and Transformation Management Intensity (TMI). Digital Intensity refers to the level of technology investment used to support business processes, while Transformation Management Intensity focuses on the vision, governance, and leadership culture that drive change. In this framework, TMI often strengthens both IC and SC, while DI has been shown to strengthen SC in particular by facilitating the infrastructure and resources needed to deal with disruption.

The proposed framework implies that organizations are more resilient when people are able to adapt quickly and when the organization's support systems function optimally. Organizations need to strengthen individual contributions through training, a culture of innovation, and freedom to explore. However, this effect will not be stable without systematic control, where technology and transformational leadership are the foundation for facilitating cross-unit coordination and establishing external collaboration.

METHODOLOGY

This study uses the Integrative Review approach as described by Torraco (2005) and Mendes et al. (2008), where the literature review aims not only to summarize previous research findings, but also to critique, analyze, and synthesize different concepts to build a new conceptual framework.

In the data collection process, literature searches were conducted through the Scopus and Google Scholar databases for the period 2020-2025. This search yielded 40 research journals relevant to the topic under study. The keywords used in the search were "telerehabilitation" or "telemedicine" combined with "organizational resilience" or "organization". The literature was selected by setting inclusion criteria that included articles that discussed any of the following aspects: health workers, their performance, patient satisfaction, and their impact on the organization. Meanwhile, exclusion criteria were applied to researches that only focused on the healing effects of therapy without considering the organizational aspects or health workers.

The analysis process in this study was carried out in several stages. First, the collected literature was categorized based on the main themes that emerged from previous research. Next, a critical analysis was conducted of the type of therapy studied, the research method used, the empirical findings obtained, and their implications for the organization. Finally, a conceptual synthesis was conducted to formulate a new understanding that could form the basis for further research so that this study would not only be descriptive but also contribute to the development of theory and practice in related fields.Explain your methodologies in this chapter. You should explain your research instruments, data collection processes, data analysis processes or hypothesis testing processes, and data display processes.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Various studies worldwide present a range of methods and service focuses while highlighting how telemedicine/telerehabilitation impacts healthcare organizations in terms of management, resources, and service quality. The following table contains forty (40) studies on telemedicine/telerehabilitation along with research methods, data types, service forms provided, and their impact on organizations.

Table 1. Overview of Telemedicine and Telerehabilitation Studies and Their Organizational Impact

Author/ Year	Research Method	Data	Type of therapy	Impact on Organization
Shah et al. (2021)	Qualitative (Single Case Study)	Interviews with 29 participants (heart failure patients, doctors, nurses, operational staff); Thematic analysis	Telemonitoring and virtual consultations for heart failure patients	Increased short-term workload for medical staff but accelerated technology adoption. Organizations must adapt systems for telemonitoring-based virtual services.
Hosseini et al. (2024)	Qualitative (Descriptive)	In-depth interviews with 19 health policymakers; Content analysis	General telemedicine for improving access and efficiency of health services	Encourages cross-institutional coordination, but regulatory gaps and cultural resistance require national leadership and a comprehensive policy framework.
Maggio et al. (2020)	Literature Review (Editorial)	A narrative analysis	Cognitive telerehabilitation (technology-based cognitive exercises)	Eases the burden on rehabilitation facilities and expands

				patient reach. Organizations must develop digital infrastructure and train staff for remote services.
Mirbahaeddin & Chreim (2024)	Qualitative (Semi-Structured Interviews)	40 participants (13 peer support workers, 27 service users), thematically analyzed	Virtual-based mental health peer support services	Community service provider organizations must adapt to hybrid models (virtual and face-to-face). There are technological and funding challenges, but it allows for increased service reach and access for clients.
Stampa et al. (2024)	Scoping Review	28 literature studies, coded using CFIR; secondary data	General telerehabilitation	Poses technical challenges and resource limitations. Organizational leader support and patient motivation are key factors. Requires adjustments to work structures and funding for long-term implementation.

Olive et al. (2024)	Quantitative (Survey & SEM)	Online survey of 489 specialist doctors in Italy; Analysis with structural equation modeling	Teleconsultation (doctor to doctor) to improve coordination	Requires better inter-doctor coordination and internal policies. Teleconsultation improves communication and overcomes distance but needs digital infrastructure.
Mahdaoui & Kissani (2023)	Literature Review	Analysis of various reports and studies of the Moroccan health system	Telemedicine for improving access and efficiency of health services	Telemedicine supports service equity in remote areas. Health organizations require electronic system integration and policy adjustments to ensure the
D'Souza et al. (2024)	Quantitative (Survey & SEM)	Online survey of 489 specialist doctors in Italy; Analysis with structural equation modeling	Teleconsultation (doctor to doctor) to improve coordination	Changes in inter-doctor coordination and internal policies are needed. Teleconsultation improves communication and overcomes geographical limits but requires strong digital infrastructure.

Price et al. (2021)	Quantitative (Secondary Data Analysis)	Comparing data from >1,300 traditional vs. decentralized THREAD clinical trials; Statistical comparison of participant enrollment & termination rates	Decentralized Clinical Trials (DCT) with various telemedicine components	Validates digital platforms for clinical research, reinforcing THREAD's role. Calls for more flexible research management, especially during crises.
Caminiti et al. (2024)	Quantitative (RCT Protocol)	110 MCI patients; rs-fMRI, HD-EEG measurements, and neuropsychological tests; repeated measures ANOVA	Cognitive telerehabilitation (NBCT, HomeCoRe, SMRT)	Research and rehab centers must adopt digital models, train staff, upgrade infrastructure, and implement remote monitoring.
Eze et al. (2020)	Umbrella Review (Analysis of 98 systematic reviews)	Secondary data from various studies in OECD countries; Search in PubMed, CRD, Cochrane Library	General telemedicine: remote monitoring, real-time, store-and-forward	Telemedicine needs tech investment, HR training, and funding rules. It reduces hospital strain but struggles with reimbursement.
Rutkowski (2021)	Literature Review (Narrative)	Analysis of studies related to COPD management during the COVID-19 pandemic	Telemedicine and Virtual Reality for pulmonary rehabilitation	Physiotherapy service organizations need to design VR and telemedicine protocols. Reduces physical contact, but

				requires SOP adjustments, physiotherapy staff training, and reliable telemedicine platforms.
Brown (2021)	Editorial	Literature review and reflections on occupational therapy resilience	Telerehabilitation in occupational therapy	Organizations had to quickly adopt teletherapy for occupational therapy services, requiring investment in training, digital platforms, and policy adjustments.
Malakhov (2024)	Mixed-methods (quantitative & qualitative)	Development of Hybrid Cloud Environment for Telerehabilitation (HCET) using AI and mathematical modeling	Hybrid cloud-based telerehabilitation for oncology patients	Encouraged technological adoption in rehabilitation institutions; required IT infrastructure and collaboration between medical and technological teams.
Potcovaru et al. (2024)	Quantitative (Systematic Review)	Analysis of 18 studies using WHODAS 2.0	Various rehabilitation techniques including telerehabilitation	Increased access to rehabilitation services, but required healthcare organizations to adapt digital monitoring and remote

				rehabilitation tools.
Carlos (2024)	Qualitative (Literature Review)	Review of Philippine rehabilitation healthcare system	Telerehabilitation in public hospitals	Helped Philippine hospitals expand rehabilitation services, but challenges included limited infrastructure, workforce readiness, and financial barriers.
Murashko et al. (2024)	Qualitative (Literature Review)	Review of telemedicine regulations in 22 countries	Telemedicine consultations (TMC) across various medical fields	Healthcare organizations needed to align with national and international telemedicine regulations; required staff training and compliance with legal frameworks.
Başer Seçer & Çeliker Tosun (2022)	Quantitative (Cross-Sectional Survey)	322 physiotherapy students surveyed on telerehabilitation awareness	Telerehabilitation using video conferencing, mobile apps, sensors, and VR	Highlighted the need for educational institutions to integrate telerehabilitation training into physiotherapy curricula.
Emami et al. (2024)	Qualitative (Literature Review)	Review of 259 articles on healthcare system resilience	Telemedicine and telerehabilitation for crisis management	Strengthened healthcare system resilience, but required investment in digital health

				strategies and long-term implementation plans.
Matamala-Gomez et al. (2021)	Qualitative (Literature Review)	Analysis of virtual reality-based telerehabilitation	Telerehabilitation with Virtual Reality (VR) for neurological disorders	Lowers healthcare burden but needs VR investment and digital literacy training.
Oshomoji et al. (2024)	Qualitative (Systematic Review)	Review of published studies on telerehabilitation in rural Africa	Telerehabilitation for overcoming healthcare access limitations in rural Africa	Organizations need to adapt digital infrastructure and train medical staff for sustainable telerehabilitation implementation. Ensuring digital security and government-private collaboration is crucial.
Gullslett et al. (2024)	Quantitative (Survey)	Survey data from 53 WHO European member states	Telemedicine (teleradiology, telemedicine, telepsychiatry)	Organizations experience increased efficiency but require structural adaptation. Financial constraints and policy inconsistencies across countries

				hinder implementation.
Pacichana-Quinayaz et al. (2024)	Qualitative (Thematic Analysis)	Interviews with 19 participants (16 healthcare providers, 3 patients)	Telerehabilitation in Colombia during the COVID-19 pandemic	Healthcare organizations adapted to virtual rehabilitation but faced technological and digital literacy barriers. Involvement of families in therapy was identified as a key success factor.
Qvarfordt et al. (2023)	Qualitative (Content Analysis)	Interviews with 11 healthcare professionals in habilitation centers	Telerehabilitation for habilitation services	Habilitation centers rushed digital transitions, causing clinician fatigue and guideline gaps.
Munce et al. (2023)	Qualitative (Descriptive)	22 healthcare providers across multiple rehabilitation institutions	Telerehabilitation using the Toronto Rehab Telerehab Toolkit	Organizations faced challenges in integrating telerehabilitation into standard practice. Healthcare professionals needed training, and infrastructure

				adjustments were required.
Stark et al. (2023)	Qualitative (Scoping Review)	Literature review of competencies needed for telerehabilitation	Telerehabilitation for patients with stroke, neurological disorders, and heart disease	Organizations had to redefine roles of healthcare professionals and provide additional training. Challenges in data security and patient engagement persisted.
Cartledge et al. (2022)	Qualitative (Exploratory)	Online discussions with 30 cardiovascular rehabilitation clinicians	Telemedicine-based cardiac rehabilitation	Healthcare organizations faced increased workload in documentation and coordination. Telemedicine allowed broader patient access but required better technological support and funding strategies.
De Micco et al. (2022)	Qualitative (Literature Review)	Review of published studies on telemedicine	General telemedicine applications	Healthcare needed restructuring for sustainable telemedicine, addressing ethics and risk management.

Kamecka et al. (2022)	Qualitative (Literature Review)	Analysis of telemedicine technologies for post-operative care	Telemedicine for post-hip arthroplasty care	Organizations had to invest in technology and training for effective telemonitoring and telerehabilitation. Policies were required to standardize virtual care.
Jesus et al. (2020)	Qualitative (Perspective Analysis)	Review of literature on telework, disability, and rehabilitation	Telerehabilitation for COVID-19 patients and people with disabilities	Organizations needed to enhance digital capacity for rehabilitation services and adopt policies for inclusive telework. Healthcare professionals required new training to provide remote rehabilitation effectively.
Shah et al. (2021)	Qualitative (Single Case Study)	Interviews with 29 participants (heart failure patients, doctors, nurses, operational staff); Thematic analysis	Telemonitoring and virtual consultations for heart failure patients	Increased short-term workload for medical staff but accelerated technology adoption. Organizations must adapt systems for telemonitoring-based virtual services.
Hosseini et al. (2024)	Qualitative (Descriptive)	In-depth interviews with 19 health	General telemedicine for improving	Encourages cross-institutional

		policymakers; Content analysis	access and efficiency of health services	coordination, but regulatory gaps and cultural resistance require national leadership and a comprehensive policy framework.
Kreider et al. (2022)	Qualitative	Interviews with 12 rehabilitation service providers at Veterans Health Administration (VHA); Thematic analysis	Telerehabilitation using telemedicine technology for veterans with physical, cognitive, and psychosocial impairments	Organizations must adapt to sudden changes in service delivery. Telemedicine implementation improved service access and continuity, especially for rural patients.
Yamada et al. (2021)	Quantitative (RCT)	220 participants with Substance Use Disorder (SUD); Randomized controlled trial (RCT)	Group therapy via telemedicine (CBT-based Indo-DARPP program for relapse prevention)	Organizations must train health workers and adjust service methods to an online format. Potential for national policy adoption.
Arshad et al. (2024)	Quantitative (Survey)	130 respondents (general public, medical students, health professionals)	Telemedicine for infectious disease management in Pakistan	Strong public and professional support for telemedicine, but infrastructure improvements are needed to enhance

				accessibility and efficiency.
Ashraf et al. (2022)	Quantitative (Cross-sectional survey)	200 patients at Shifa International Hospital, Islamabad	Telemedicine for COVID-19 consultations and general medical services	High patient satisfaction (96.5%). Organizations need to invest in better audio-visual quality and online consultation systems.
Alviani et al. (2023)	Quantitative (Survey)	Online questionnaire targeting Indonesian adults	Telemedicine adoption in Indonesia	Significant factors affecting telemedicine adoption include eHealth literacy, trust, and social influence. Organizations must enhance digital literacy and security trust.
Ansaldi et al. (2024)	Qualitative (Literature Review)	Analysis of healthcare policy documents in Liguria, Italy	Telemedicine for territorial healthcare assistance	Organizations must improve coordination between primary care and hospitals, invest in staff training, and address digital infrastructure gaps.

Shroff (2024)	Qualitative (Case Study)	Observations, semi-structured interviews, and document analysis at Sri Sathya Sai Sanjeevani Hospital, India	Telemedicine for pediatric congenital heart disease patients	Telemedicine allowed service continuity and expanded patient reach. Hospitals must manage digital transformation while maintaining core values.
Chamla et al. (2024)	Scoping Review	Review of studies on health system resilience strategies	Telemedicine in pandemic response and thoracic surgery	Increased trust in health authorities and improved communicatio n efficiency in healthcare systems. Organizations must strengthen digital health policies.

The table above shows that the application of telemedicine and telerehabilitation affects healthcare organizations in several dimensions, ranging from workflow changes, technology investments, regulations, and management policy renewal. While some studies highlight technical challenges and resistance in the early stages, many also emphasize increased efficiency, ease of access, and organizational resilience in dealing with crisis situations (such as pandemics).

Based on the interpretation of the findings from the forty studies that discuss telemedicine and telerehabilitation in the context of organizational resilience, four main themes can be drawn as a basis for formulating a digital transformation strategy for health services to increase organizational resilience.

Technological Innovation and Service Adaptation

A number of studies emphasize that the implementation of telemedicine requires adequate infrastructure and strong information technology support (Hosseini et al., 2024; Ashraf et al., 2024; Arshad et al., 2024). Efforts are also underway to develop online platforms, remote monitoring applications, and software to support telerehabilitation, as reviewed in Maggio et al.'s (2020) study on cognitive telerehabilitation. In this context, technology is not only about tools, but also about changing workflows and therapy methods, as explained by Rutkowski (2021) and Price et al. (2021), where health professionals need training to be able to use virtual reality or telemonitoring. Similarly, the results of studies

by Shah et al. (2021), Yamada et al. (2021), and Eze et al. (2020) show that telemedicine makes it easier for patients to continue to receive quality consultations despite distance constraints. Meanwhile, research by Brown (2021) and Carlos (2024) underscores that this type of innovation encourages health care institutions to experiment with more creative online service formats. On the patient side, service adaptation includes adjustments to therapy methods, as in the research by Matamala-Gomez et al. (2021), which highlights the importance of using interactive games to engage patients during remote rehabilitation sessions.

The Role of Stakeholders and Multidisciplinary Collaboration

Several studies emphasize that the success of telemedicine or telerehabilitation is strongly influenced by interprofessional collaboration, from physicians, physiotherapists, nurses, and IT personnel to hospital managers (Mirbahaeddin & Chreim, 2024; D'Souza et al., 2024). Hosseini et al. (2024) and Stampa et al. (2024) illustrate the need for healthcare policymakers to work with insurance providers to develop a viable payment system for remote services. A similar point is made in the research of Caminiti et al. (2024) and Cartledge et al. (2022), where management support and interdepartmental synergy encourage the creation of consistent service protocols. In the context of rural or constrained areas, this collaboration is even more urgent due to infrastructure challenges and unequal access, as highlighted by Oshomoji et al. (2024) and Gullslett et al. In addition, the involvement of external parties such as universities and research institutions also enriches practice in the field, as illustrated by research by Munce et al. (2023), Stark et al. (2023), and Pacichana-Quinayaz et al. (2024), which highlights the importance of collaborative research to develop telerehabilitation models that are appropriate to the local context.

Change Management and Sustainability Governance

Digital transformation in the health sector is not just a matter of replacing in-person consultations with online ones, but a comprehensive change process that includes policies, human resource training, and service quality assurance (Malakhov, 2024; Başer Seçer & Çeliker Tosun, 2022). Efforts to maintain the continuity of telerehabilitation services are influenced by government regulations, organizational readiness, and the work culture of health professionals (Price et al., 2021; Ansaldi et al., 2024). Several studies suggest that effective change management ensures the availability of financial and technical resources (Olive et al., 2024; Carlos, 2024; Chamla et al., 2024). On the other hand, policy and infrastructure misalignment can hinder the adoption of telemedicine, as noted by Eze et al. (2020) and Emami et al. (2024). Research by De Micco et al. (2022) and Kreider et al. (2022) demonstrates the need for consistent evaluation protocols to maintain the quality of telemedicine services.

Strengthening Organizational Resilience Through the use of Digital Services

Organizational resilience is not only about surviving a crisis, but also about maintaining smooth operations in the midst of disruptions (Brown, 2021; Alviani et al., 2022). When telemedicine and telerehabilitation are integrated into risk management, organizations are better able to respond to emergencies such as pandemics, natural disasters, or situations of limited resources (Kamecka et al., 2022; Jesus et al., 2020). Studies by Potcovaru et al. (2024) and Murashko et al.

(2024) confirm that the structured use of telemedicine can reduce dependence on physical facilities and expand the range of services, making organizations more flexible in their operations. In addition, research by Odetunde et al. (2024) and Barzacchi et al. (2024) explains that this type of digital capability not only maintains the stability of healthcare services, but also increases the competitiveness of healthcare institutions. In fact, telerehabilitation initiatives, according to research by Stark et al. (2023) and Qvarfordt et al. (2023), show how resilient organizations tend to prepare continuous training for health workers to ensure long-term technological adaptability. In unique cases, resilience is also supported by cross-regional or international partnerships, as shown by Mahdaoui & Kissani (2023) and Stampa et al. (2024), where this collaboration creates a broad support network in times of crisis.

These four themes illustrate how telemedicine and telerehabilitation are not just technical innovations, but strategic tools for building organizational resilience. Healthcare organizations that seriously invest in digital infrastructure, collaborate across sectors, organize change management, and build a resilient culture will be better prepared to face various disruptions. The forty studies above show that, while there are still barriers such as regulatory constraints, workforce competencies, and infrastructure, telemedicine and telerehabilitation approaches can be catalysts for change. Ultimately, efforts to strengthen these remote services are consistent with the goal of increasing organizational resilience.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The findings from this integrative review reveal that telemedicine and telerehabilitation not only improve patient care but also substantially bolster organizational resilience. By mitigating geographical barriers, these digital innovations expand healthcare access while ensuring continuity of services during disruptions such as pandemics or natural disasters. In integrating telemedicine more deeply into everyday clinical operations, healthcare organizations enhance their capacity for rapid adaptation, cultivate stronger collaboration among multidisciplinary teams, and safeguard their long-term sustainability.

However, the transition to robust telemedicine systems entails important challenges. Adequate digital infrastructure, employee training, and regulations governing data privacy and service reimbursement are all pivotal in maintaining service quality. Likewise, collaborative efforts among policymakers, healthcare administrators, and IT experts help ensure that telemedicine and telerehabilitation solutions are strategically implemented, financially supported, and effectively tailored to diverse patient needs.

Building on these insights, healthcare organizations are encouraged to invest in upgrading their technological capabilities, train healthcare providers in using telemedicine platforms, and embed digital care models into their standard workflows. Strengthening partnerships with universities, technology firms, and regulatory bodies will accelerate the development of comprehensive service protocols, enabling more seamless integration of remote care options. Ultimately, these steps not only improve patient outcomes but also help healthcare

institutions remain agile, innovative, and resilient in the face of future disruptions.

FURTHER STUDY

Despite synthesizing a range of evidence on telemedicine, telerehabilitation, and organizational resilience, this study has certain limitations. Much of the existing literature relies on secondary data or single-case analyses, which may not capture the full complexity of how digital healthcare solutions are implemented across different clinical settings. Furthermore, the review's emphasis on conceptual themes may have resulted in an incomplete consideration of context-specific variables, such as institutional culture, funding sources, or regional technological infrastructure. These limitations highlight the necessity for more refined empirical approaches that can validate or refine the proposed themes in diverse healthcare environments. To build upon the insights presented here, future researchers are encouraged to conduct field studies in hospitals or other healthcare facilities, using quantitative or mixed-methods designs.

REFERENCES

Abernethy, A., Adams, L., Barrett, M., Bechtel, C., & Brennan, P. (2022). *The Promise of Digital Health: Then, Now, and the Future Digital Health in the 21st Century*.

Alexander, M. (2022). *Telerehabilitation, 1st Edition*. Elsevier.

Alviani, R., Purwandari, B., Eitiveni, I., & Purwaningsih, M. (2023). Factors Affecting Adoption of Telemedicine for Virtual Healthcare Services in Indonesia. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Business Intelligence*, *9*(1), 47–69. https://doi.org/10.20473/jisebi.9.1.47-69

Ansaldi, F., Astengo, M., Battaglini, A., Grammatico, F., Marchini, F., Fiorano, A., Allegretti, S., Schenone, I., & Amicizia, D. (2024). A new organizational model of primary healthcare in Liguria, Italy. Insights and implications. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene*, *65*(2), E236–E244. https://doi.org/10.15167/2421-4248/jpmh2024.65.2.3145

Arshad, S., Rizwan, M., Khan, T., Haque, M. Z. U., & Munir, M. W. (2024). Role of Telemedicine Technology to Combat Contagious Diseases in Pakistan and People's Acquiescence Towards it. *2024 IEEE 1st Karachi Section Humanitarian Technology Conference, Khi-HTC 2024*. https://doi.org/10.1109/KHI-HTC60760.2024.10482182

Ashraf, M., Shoaib, F., Ghafoor, H., & Khan, A. A. (2022). Patient Satisfaction and Difficulties Encountered with Telemedicine During COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Islamic International Medical College*, *17*(3), 208–213. https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85140355586&partnerID=40&md5=256802ed147c60158a00ed58a70d5d86

Başer Seçer, M., & Çeliker Tosun, Ö. (2022). Examination of Telerehabilitation Knowledge, Awareness, and Opinions of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Students. *Medical Science Educator*, *32*(6), 1355–1365. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-022-01649-z

Beattie, R. M. (2006). The changing face of coeliac disease. In *Archives of Disease in Childhood* (Vol. 91, Issue 12, pp. 955–956). https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.2006.099671

Brown, T. (2021). The response to COVID-19: Occupational resilience and the resilience of daily occupations in action. In *Australian Occupational Therapy Journal* (Vol. 68, Issue 2, pp. 103–105). Blackwell Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1630.12721

Caminiti, S. P., Bernini, S., Bottiroli, S., Mitolo, M., Manca, R., Grillo, V., Avenali, M., De Icco, R., Capellari, S., Carlesimo, G. A., Venneri, A., & Tassorelli, C. (2024). Exploring the neural and behavioral correlates of cognitive telerehabilitation in mild cognitive impairment with three distinct approaches. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, *16*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2024.1425784

Carlos, F. A. B. (2024). Health Systems Approach to Strengthen Rehabilitation. *Acta Medica Philippina*, *58*(20), 6.

Cartledge, S., Rawstorn, J. C., Tran, M., Ryan, P., Howden, E. J., & Jackson, A. (2022). Telemedicine is here to stay but not without challenges: A consultation of cardiac rehabilitation clinicians during COVID-19 in Victoria, Australia. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*, *21*(6), 548–558. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjcn/zvab118

Chamla, D., Iwu-Jaja, C., Jaca, A., Ndlambe, A. M., Buwa, M., Idemili-Aronu, N., Okeibunor, J., Wiysonge, C. S., & Gueye, A. S. (2024). The critical elements of the health system that could make for resilience in the World Health Organization African Region: a scoping review. In *PeerJ* (Vol. 12, Issue 9). PeerJ Inc. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.17869

D'Souza, K., Singh, S., Westgard, C. M., & Barnhardt, S. (2024). A qualitative assessment of barriers and facilitators of telemedicine volunteerism during the COVID-19 pandemic in India. *Human Resources for Health*, *22*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12960-024-00897-x

De Micco, F., Fineschi, V., Banfi, G., Frati, P., Oliva, A., Travaini, G. V., Picozzi, M., Curcio, G., Pecchia, L., Petitti, T., Alloni, R., Rosati, E., De Benedictis, A., & Tambone, V. (2022). From COVID-19 Pandemic to Patient Safety: A New "Spring" for Telemedicine or a Boomerang Effect? *Frontiers in Medicine*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2022.901788

Eikelboom, R. H. (2012). The telegraph and the beginnings of telemedicine in Australia. *Studies in Health Technology and Informatics*, *182*, 67–72. https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-152-6-67

Emami, S. G., Lorenzoni, V., & Turchetti, G. (2024). Towards Resilient Healthcare Systems: A Framework for Crisis Management. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *21*(3). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph21030286

Eze, N. D., Mateus, C., & Hashiguchi, T. C. O. (2020). Telemedicine in the OECD: An umbrella review of clinical and cost-effectiveness, patient experience and implementation. In *PLoS ONE* (Vol. 15, Issue 8 August). Public Library of Science. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237585

Gullslett, M. K., Ronchi, E., Lundberg, L., Larbi, D., Lind, K. F., Tayefi, M., Ngo, P. D., Sy, T. R., Adib, K., & Hamilton, C. (2024). Telemedicine development in the WHO European region: Results from a quantitative survey and insights from Norway. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, *191*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2024.105558

He, Z., Huang, H., Choi, H., & Bilgihan, A. (2023). Building organizational resilience with digital transformation. *Journal of Service Management*, *34*(1), 147–171. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-06-2021-0216

Hosseini, S. M., Boushehri, S. A., & Alimohammadzadeh, K. (2024). Challenges and solutions for implementing telemedicine in Iran from health policymakers' perspective. *BMC Health Services Research*, *24*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-023-10488-6

Ilyas, Y. (2022). *ANALISIS PENGARUH PANDEMI COVID-19 SEBAGAI KATALIS DALAM PERKEMBANGAN TELEMEDICINE DI INDONESIA: SEBUAH NARRATIVE REVIEW*. *10*(1). http://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/jkm

Jesus, T. S., Landry, M. D., & Jacobs, K. (2020). A "new normal" following COVID-19 and the economic crisis: Using systems thinking to identify challenges and opportunities in disability, telework, and rehabilitation. *Work*, *67*(1), 37–46. https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-203250

Kamecka, K., Foti, C., Gawiński, Ł., Matejun, M., Rybarczyk-Szwajkowska, A., Kiljański, M., Krochmalski, M., Kozłowski, R., & Marczak, M. (2022). Telemedicine Technologies Selection for the Posthospital Patient Care Process after Total Hip Arthroplasty. In *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* (Vol. 19, Issue 18). MDPI. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191811521

Kreider, C. M., Hale-Gallardo, J., Kramer, J. C., Mburu, S., Slamka, M. R., Findley, K. E., Myers, K. J., & Romero, S. (2022). Providers' Shift to Telerehabilitation at the U.S. Veterans Health Administration During COVID-19: Practical Applications. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.831762

Maggio, M. G., De Luca, R., Manuli, A., & Calabrò, R. S. (2020). The five 'W' of cognitive telerehabilitation in the Covid-19 era. In *Expert Review of Medical Devices* (Vol. 17, Issue 6, pp. 473–475). Taylor and Francis Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1080/17434440.2020.1776607

Mahdaoui, M., & Kissani, N. (2023). Morocco's Healthcare System: Achievements, Challenges, and Perspectives. *Cureus*. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.41143

Malakhov, K. S. (2024). Innovative Hybrid Cloud Solutions for Physical Medicine and Telerehabilitation Research. In *International Journal of Telerehabilitation* (Vol. 16, Issue 1). University Library System, University of Pittsburgh. https://doi.org/10.5195/ijt.2024.6635

Matamala-Gomez, M., Bottiroli, S., Realdon, O., Riva, G., Galvagni, L., Platz, T., Sandrini, G., De Icco, R., & Tassorelli, C. (2021). Telemedicine and Virtual Reality at Time of COVID-19 Pandemic: An Overview for Future

Perspectives in Neurorehabilitation. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.646902

Mendes, K. D. S., Silveira, R. C. de C. P., & Galvão, C. M. (2008). Revisão integrativa: método de pesquisa para a incorporação de evidências na saúde e na enfermagem. *Texto & Contexto - Enfermagem*, *17*(4), 758–764. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0104-07072008000400018

Mirbahaeddin, E., & Chreim, S. (2024). Transcending technology boundaries and maintaining sense of community in virtual mental health peer support: a qualitative study with service providers and users. *BMC Health Services Research*, *24*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-10943-y

Munce, S., Andreoli, A., Bayley, M., Guo, M., Inness, E. L., Kua, A., & McIntyre, M. (2023). Clinicians' Experiences of Implementing a Telerehabilitation Toolkit During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Qualitative Descriptive Study. *JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.2196/44591

Murashko, M. A., Panin, A. I., Chigrina, V. P., Tyufilin, D. S., Khodakova, O. V., Deev, I. A., & Kobyakova, O. S. (2024). Organizational and legal aspects of providing medical care using telemedicine technologies in Russian Federation and foreign countries. *National Health Care (Russia)*, *5*(4), 5–19. https://doi.org/10.47093/2713-069X.2024.5.4.5-19

Olive, M. V., Gastaldi, L., & Corso, M. (2024). Digitally-mediated coordination in healthcare: the effects of teleconsultation on doctor-to-doctor relational coordination. *BMC Health Services Research*, *24*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-024-10726-5

Oshomoji, O. I., Ajiroba, J. O., Semudara, S. O., & Olayemi, M. A. (2024). Tele-rehabilitation in African rural areas: a systematic review. *Bulletin of Faculty of Physical Therapy*, *29*(1), 89. https://doi.org/10.1186/s43161-024-00256-w

Pacichana-Quinayaz, S. G., Vélez, L. M. R., Cano, D. S., Sánchez, Y. M. L., Orobio, O. M. H., Sánchez, M. A. T., Córdoba, G. I. T., & Bonilla-Escobar, F. J. (2024). Telerehabilitation in Colombia: A Country Report and Qualitative Analysis During the Covid-19 Pandemic - Perceptions of Healthcare Providers and Patients. *International Journal of Telerehabilitation*, *16*(1). https://doi.org/10.5195/ijt.2024.6605

Potcovaru, C. G., Salmen, T., Bîgu, D., Săndulescu, M. I., Filip, P. V., Diaconu, L. S., Pop, C., Ciobanu, I., Cinteză, D., & Berteanu, M. (2024). Assessing the Effectiveness of Rehabilitation Interventions through the World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule 2.0 on Disability—A Systematic Review. In *Journal of Clinical Medicine* (Vol. 13, Issue 5). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI). https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13051252

Price, J., Goodson, N., Warren, E. J., Wicks, P., & Reites, J. (2021). Resilient design: decentralized trials recovered faster from the impact of COVID-19 than traditional site-based designs. *Expert Review of Medical Devices*, *18*(sup1), 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1080/17434440.2021.2014818

Putrie, I., & Sulistiadi, W. (2022). Telemedicine sebagai Strategi Pelayanan Rumah Sakit pada Era Pandemi COVID19. *Jurnal Pendidikan Tambusai*, *6*(2), 13234–13241.

Qvarfordt, M., Nilsson, E., & Nilsson, L. (2023). Health Care Professionals' Experiences in Telerehabilitation: Qualitative Content Analysis. *JMIR Human Factors*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.2196/40690

Rutkowski, S. (2021). Management challenges in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in the covid-19 pandemic: Telemedicine and virtual reality. In *Journal of Clinical Medicine* (Vol. 10, Issue 6, pp. 1–11). MDPI. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10061261

Shah, A., Guessi, M., Wali, S., Ware, P., McDonald, M., O'Sullivan, M., Posada, J. D., Ross, H., & Seto, E. (2021). The resilience of cardiac care through virtualized services during the covid-19 pandemic: Case study of a heart function clinic. *JMIR Cardio*, *5*(1). https://doi.org/10.2196/25277

Sharma, K., Patel, Z., Patel, S., Patel, K., Dabhi, S., Doshi, J., Amdani, M., Shah, D., Patel, D., & Konat, A. (2022). Repostioning of Telemedicine in Cardiovascular World Post-COVID-19 Pandemic. *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine*, *9*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2022.910802

Shem, K., Irgens, I., & Alexander, M. (2022). Getting Started: Mechanisms of Telerehabilitation. In *Telerehabilitation: Principles and Practice* (pp. 5–20). https://doi.org/10.1016/B9780323824866.000022

Shroff, A. (2024). Integrating Innovation and Virtue: Strategic Responses of Private Organizations Amidst Environmental Shocks. *FIIB Business Review*. https://doi.org/10.1177/23197145241304274

Stampa, S., Thienel, C., Tokgöz, P., Razum, O., & Dockweiler, C. (2024). Factors Facilitating and Inhibiting the Implementation of Telerehabilitation—A Scoping Review. In *Healthcare (Switzerland)* (Vol. 12, Issue 6). Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI). https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12060619

Stark, A. L., Krayter, S., & Dockweiler, C. (2023). Competencies required by patients and health professionals regarding telerehabilitation: A scoping review. In *Digital Health* (Vol. 9). SAGE Publications Inc. https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076231218841

Torraco, R. J. (2005). Writing Integrative Literature Reviews: Guidelines and Examples. *Human Resource Development Review*, *4*(3), 356–367. https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484305278283

Yamada, C., Siste, K., Hanafi, E., Ophinni, Y., Beatrice, E., Rafelia, V., Alison, P., Limawan, A., Shinozaki, T., Matsumoto, T., & Sakamoto, R. (2021). Relapse prevention group therapy via video-conferencing for substance use disorder: Protocol for a multicentre randomised controlled trial in Indonesia. *BMJ Open*, *11*(9). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-050259